Table 10--Utilization of Producer Milk in Class II Products, by Federal Milk Order Marketing Area, March 2004, with Comparisons 1/

Federal Milk Order Marketing Area	Order Number	Producer Milk Used in			( lace       filiza		Component Test of Producer	
		2004	2003	Change from			Milk Used in Class II 2/	
				Prev. Year	2004	2003	Butterfat	Nonfat Solids
		Million pounds		Percent	Percent		Percent	
Northeast	001	419	403	3.8	20.07	18.76	6.89	8.44
Appalachian	005	87	81	6.9	17.34	14.32	8.38	
Southeast	007	78	57	36.4	11.92	9.15	7.39	
Florida	006	23	21	12.4	8.56	8.13	15.00	
Mideast	033	232	180	29.0	17.91	12.36	6.57	8.50
Upper Midwest	030	76	51	47.4	11.23	2.65	12.71	7.92
Central	032	101	95	5.9	14.12	6.39	6.63	8.56
Southwest	126	112	116	-3.6	18.56	12.28	7.92	8.40
Arizona-Las Vegas	131	22	15	50.1	8.23	5.23	9.92	
Western	135	29	30	-3.5	17.61	6.81	7.17	8.48
Pacific Northwest	124	42	37	12.0	6.95	5.79	10.08	8.13
All Markets Combined 3/		1,220	1,087	12.3	15.58	10.08	7.73	8.41

<sup>1/</sup> The election not to pool milk due to disadvantageous price relationships has affected the year-to-year comparisons for those markets where this occurred; see 2/ and 3/ on table 8.

<sup>2/</sup> Figures for Nonfat Solids are available only for those orders with the component pricing system for paying producers.

<sup>3/</sup>May not add due to rounding. Figures for Component Test are the weighted average of the individual market figures.

The weighting factors are the applicable pounds in producer milk receipts used in Class II.